## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/734,686	KIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LXAIIIIIEI	Art Unit	

	Andrew T. Piziali	1798	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi real (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); otter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying tl	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•		
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	): The 35 USC 112, first and second	l paragraph,rejections	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 47,56,57,66,68 and 70-84. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e.	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented.  So	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>Dated 8</u>	<u>/5/2010</u>	
13. ☑ Other: Notice of References Cited.			
	/Andrew T Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1798	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant asserts that modifying the glove of Post as disclosed by Kang would render the glove unsuitable for its intended purpose. The applicant asserts that Post requires that the coating increases the thickness of the glove to provide protection to the underlying substrate and that the coating must provide a smooth surface so that a knife edge may quickly glide across and reduce the likelihood of an edge cutting the glove material. Applicant's argument is not persuasive.

Firstly, Kang discloses that the coating may be applied as a patch (column 5, lines 9-14). Therefore, the coating would increase the thickness of the glove to provide protection to the underlying substrate. Secondly, Kang discloses that the coating provides a substantially level surface (column 4, lines 45-55 and column 5, lines 46-67). Therefore, the smooth surface would allow a knife edge to quickly glide across and reduce the likelihood of an edge cutting the glove material.

Regarding claim 56, the applicant asserts that silicone is not typically a heat resistant material. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As requested by the applicant, the examiner has included evidence (Wikipedia document) disclosing that silicone is typically heat resistant. It is noted that the guard plates of Kang may be in a gap separated pattern such as dots (column 3, lines 4-26).